UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

MIAMI DIVISION

JANE DOE,	CASE NO: 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres
Plaintiff,	
v.	
STEVEN BONNELL II,	
Defendant.	

AMENDED COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff Jane Doe ("Plaintiff"), by and through her undersigned counsel, and very respectfully states, alleges, and prays as follows:

I. NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. This action seeks to hold Defendant accountable for his unauthorized, intentional and unlawful disclosure and dissemination of intimate images and sexually explicit videos of the Plaintiff, thereby causing Plaintiff extreme emotional and physical distress, depression, anxiety, and harm to her personal and professional reputation. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, compensatory and punitive damages, attorney fees, and any other relief this Honorable Court deems just and proper as a result of Defendant's unlawful, extreme and outrageous conduct towards Plaintiff.
- 2. Plaintiff brings claims pursuant to, *inter alia*, the Intimate Image Protection Act, 15 USC § 6851; the Sexual Cyber Harassment Statute, Florida Statute § 784.049; and other Florida laws pertaining to the right of privacy, public disclosure of private facts, and the intentional infliction of emotional distress.

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 2

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action arises under the laws of the United States, including 15 U.S.C. § 6851, the Intimate Image Protection Act.
- 4. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because they arise out of the same nucleus of operative facts as the federal claims.
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within this district, and Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.

III. PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff Jane Doe is a citizen of the United States and a resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida. Plaintiff brings this complaint anonymously due to the intimate and sensitive nature of the materials which form the subject matter of the complaint.
- 7. Defendant Stephen Bonnell II ("Bonnell") is a citizen of the United States and a resident of Miami Beach, Florida.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Bonnell, known online as Destiny, is a live streamer and political commentator. He has over 840,000 subscribers on his YouTube channel. He has a huge following on X, Kick, Reddit and Discord. Bonnell had over 700,000 followers on his Twitch channel before he was banned from Twitch in March 2022 due to hateful conduct.
- 9. Plaintiff, known online as Pxie, is also a live streamer, as well as an influencer. Pxie has 15,000 followers on X and on Twitch she has 10,000 followers.

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 3

10. Plaintiff began conversing with Bonnell on the Discord platform when she was

approximately 19 years old. Bonnell was approximately 33 years old. At that time, Plaintiff was

a new streamer in the same niche as Bonnell – politics.

11. Approximately two years later, on or about September 12, 2020, after multiple

conversations about consent and boundaries, Plaintiff engaged in sexual relations with Bonnell.

Plaintiff was inexperienced and had only had physical relations with one other person prior to

Bonnell. This was the only time Plaintiff and Bonnell had sexual relations. During this one

occasion, Bonnell recorded videos of Plaintiff and him engaging in sexual conduct ("the Videos").

12. Unbeknownst to Plaintiff at the time, sometime in 2022¹, Bonnell disseminated

through electronic means one of the Videos (the "Video") to a 19-year old woman using the screen

name Rose. Rose was a random fan of Bonnell's on his Discord platform that reached out to him

on Discord. Bonnell had never met Rose. Despite knowing nothing about Rose, and not even

knowing her real name, Bonnell intentionally shared the Video with Rose without Plaintiff's

knowledge or consent.

13. Upon information and belief, Bonnell sent the Video to Rose on Discord as a video

linked to a Google drive link.² Bonnell's customary practice was to upload his sexually explicit

videos to a Google drive link and then share the link. Google offers several privacy settings for

shared links, allowing the owner to control who can access a file and what they can do with it. The

¹ Based on the available evidence to date it cannot be conclusively determined whether Bonnell's dissemination of the Video to Rose occurred in April or October of 2022.

² Even if it was not sent via a Google drive link, but was sent via a media attachment on Discord, it would still have been continuously accessible. Discord automatically creates a link and anyone with access to that link can access the video. Every video sent on Discord automatically is embedded with a media attachment link. This link is a "live" link. Defendant has demonstrated knowledge of this feature because he routinely shares Discord media links in his public chat threads. The same analysis applies to both sharing the video through Discord or sharing the video as a Google Drive link.

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 4

privacy setting options include i) restricted; ii) anyone with the link; or iii) anyone in your

organization. Bonnell chose the least restrictive privacy setting for his Google drive links: anyone

with the link. This setting makes the sensitive material accessible indefinitely to any third party

possessing that link. This on-going accessibility functionally equates to publicly posting explicit

content online. Anyone with the link can view it—no Google account or sign-in is required, and it

can be shared with anyone else. Thus, Bonnell's disclosure of the Video to Rose was not just a

one-time event, but rather constituted ongoing dissemination.

14. Sometime in March 2023, Bonnell began messaging with a fan of his on

Instagram with the screen name Abbymc. Over the course of their ensuing relationship,

Bonnell sent Abbymc multiple sexually explicit videos through his Google drive link. The

videos that Bonnell sent to Abbymc on the shared Google drive link included one of the

Videos of Plaintiff.

15. Abbymc recognized the Plaintiff in the Video by her face and hair. Abbymc

was familiar with Plaintiff because she had seen her on Bonnell's live streams and on

Plaintiff's own live streams and Instagram posts. Sometime after receiving the Video,

Abbymc messaged a friend of hers and told her friend that Bonnell had sent her a sexually

explicit video of the streamer Pxie.

16. On or about November 29, 2024, the sexually explicit Video of Plaintiff that

Bonnell had shared with Rose and Abbymc was uploaded on to the website Kiwifarms by

an individual with the screen name Solotinyleaks. The Video was then also disseminated

on multiple other pornography websites including https://notfans.com, https://thothub.cam,

pornbiz.com, epawg.com, and erome.com. The caption on the Video on the different

platforms identify both "Destiny" and "Pxie". The Plaintiff's face is visible and identifiable

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 5

in the Video.

17. Solotinyleaks stated online that he accessed the videos that he posted on Kiwi

Farms from links to Bonnell's Google drive. The fact that Solotinyleaks was able to access the

Video, and then distribute it, confirms that Bonnell's disclosures via an unsecured Google drive

link with the broadest possible privacy setting enabled ongoing continuous distribution, and were

not one-time events.

18. Shortly after the Video is posted, various friends and random followers of Plaintiff

inform her about the dissemination of the Video. Some of the messages are very accusatory. She

is shocked, humiliated, and mortified by the dissemination of the sexually explicit Video.

19. As of today's date, the Video has been viewed on the notfans.com site over 10,000

times. It has been viewed on the thothub.com site over 58,000 times. It had at least as many views

on other websites but it has since been removed. It is still on the Kiwi Farms site, but the site does

not have a number tracker so the number of views is unknown but estimated to be in the tens of

thousands. On erome.com there were over 10,000 views, but it has since been removed.

20. On the evening of November 29, 2024, Plaintiff sends a message to Bonnell on

Discord expressing her horror that he shared the Video of her. She asks him if he sent any

additional pornographic Videos to anyone. He admits his guilt, responding: "Ughhhh there

shouldn't be no, I'm so sorry there's literally no excuse, I'd had phone convos and stuff with this

person they were fairly close to me, it's worthless to say it at this point but I'm super sorry, there's

literally no excuse." Plaintiff then asks him to delete any and all Videos that he has of her.

21. The leak of the Video goes viral and is being discussed by thousands of followers

of Destiny and Pxie on various platforms, including YouTube, Discord, Reddit, Kiwifarms, and

Twitter/X. Plaintiff begins receiving hundreds of insulting and harassing messages. Many of the

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 6

followers discussing the leaks on the different accounts falsely claim that Plaintiff

exchanged sex for professional growth and favors from Bonnell.

22. Plaintiff feels increasingly humiliated, mortified and depressed, and starts

feeling suicidal. On or about December 11, 2024, she starts writing suicide notes. Plaintiff

messages Bonnell and tells him she is "just tired of living anymore. It's a matter of time

until my family discovers what happened...I feel like the best thing to do is for me to kill

myself and schedule a post just detailing my feelings on what happened to try to ensure a

situation like this doesn't happen again." Plaintiff then unfriends Bonnell on Discord so

that she cannot receive any messages from him.

23. On or about December 13, 2024, Plaintiff meets with Bonnell's close friend

and business associate, Kyla Turner (screen name "notsoerudite"). Plaintiff tells her about

her suicidal thoughts, that she cannot bear the humiliation and harassment she is enduring,

and that she wants to ensure Bonnell never does this to her or anyone else ever again.

Turner tells her she will talk to Bonnell and tell him what they discussed.

24. On or about December 19, 2024, Turner asks Plaintiff if Bonnell has

communicated with her or added her back as a friend. Plaintiff responds in the negative.

25. Plaintiff continues feeling depressed and suicidal. The leak of the Video is

still a viral topic of conversation on multiple platforms, with people making horrible

accusations about Plaintiff. On the morning of January 20, 2025, two videos on YouTube

are posted by two different streamers discussing the "Pxie Leak." The videos include

screenshots of private messages of Plaintiff discussing the situation with friends, and

claims that Plaintiff took hush money, only cares about money, and does not care about the

other victims of Defendant. These videos have been viewed by thousands, including one

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 7

which as of today was viewed by over 90,000 people. The majority of the posts by people assume

that Bonnell shared the Videos with Plaintiff's consent and knowledge.

26. On or about January 20, 2025, in desperation, Plaintiff/Pxie goes online and

announces that Destiny shared the Videos without her consent or knowledge, and that she will be

seeking legal recourse. That same day, Bonnell/Destiny responds on various platforms, including

Reddit and Imgur, and falsely claims that the Video "leak happened without my knowledge,

consent, or authorization." This claim directly contradicts his November 29, 2024 explicit written

admission to Plaintiff that he knowingly and intentionally shared the Video with Rose without

Plaintiff's consent.

27. On January 20, right after Plaintiff announced publicly that she would sue Bonnell,

one of his followers reached out to Plaintiff and told her that Bonnell had sent the Video to her

sister about two months earlier. The follower informs Plaintiff that she believes Bonnell is still

sending her sister sexually explicit videos of other women without their consent.

28. On January 21, 2025, aware of an impending lawsuit and potential criminal

investigation, Bonnell starts destroying evidence, including deleting messages between him and

Plaintiff. On January 23, 2025, Bonnell receives a letter from Plaintiff's counsel informing him of

Plaintiff's intent to sue and demanding that he preserve all evidence.

29. On or about January 23, 2025, Bonnell begins to publicly harass and defame

Plaintiff. He posts in his group chat called "dgg", with multiple people, that Plaintiff/Pxie is just

after his money.

30. On or about January 23, 2025, Bonnell also posts on his website,

https://www.destiny.gg, and in his "DGG Chat," that "Pxie and the people behind her just want

money, so there's no point in going after the hacker, he's probably some broke loser anyway, so

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 8

they aren't even mentioning him." Over 1,000 people have potentially viewed this

defamatory post.

31. Bonnell's attempt to divert blame from himself and cast blame on an alleged

"hacker" is directly contradicted by his own prior explicit written admission (see ante) to

Plaintiff that he intentionally shared the Videos, by his text messages with Rose in which

he tells her about the Video with the "young" girl that he will send her, and by Abbymc's

text message with her friend regarding Bonell's dissemination of the Video to her.

32. Bonnell continues defaming and harassing Plaintiff on his website,

https://www.destiny.gg, and in the "DGG Chat." He implies that Plaintiff is trying to

"milk" him, and that she is not going after anybody else that might allegedly have been

involved in the leak of the Videos because she can't "milk as much money out of that

target." Potentially over 1,000 people have seen this post.

33. In or around the end of January, 2025, approximately fifteen women

reached out to Plaintiff to tell her that they had received sexually explicit images from

Bonnell of other women without the other women's consent. Three of these women also

tell Plaintiff that Bonnell deleted their chat threads after January 23, 2025. Bonnell also

deleted all of his direct messages to Abbymc sometime after January 23, 2025.

34. Upon information and belief, multiple women, including Melina Gorasson

and Stacsia (screen names), have recently come forward and publicly alleged that Bonnell

has released sexually explicit videos of them without their consent. Upon information and

belief, these images were then posted on different pornography websites without their

consent.

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 9

35. A woman with the screen name Chaeiry, recently found out that Bonnell had

secretly made an unauthorized audio recording of their sexual interaction, and then had distributed

it to multiple people without her knowledge or consent. Bonnell also shared the recording with

Abbymc without Chaeiry's knowledge or consent. Bonnell has retaliated against Chaeiry by

publicly posting private messages with during a time when she was suffering a mental health crisis.

36. On or about January 23, 2025, Bonnell begins a smear campaign against Plaintiff

and tells his followers that Plaintiff is only interested in getting money from him. Over 1,000 of

his followers could have seen this post. He also tells his followers that his only crime was being

"too much of a gooner." The word "gooner" is slang for someone who is deeply engaged in

excessive adult X-rated content consumption, sometimes in a compulsive or obsessive manner.

37. On or about January 24, 2025, another female political streamer talks about

Bonnell's sharing of the Video. She informs her followers that Destiny/Bonnell had recorded a

conversation with her without her knowledge or consent. She alleges that when she refused to have

sexual relations with him, he became publicly hostile towards her. And then later he posted to his

followers that he has the recording and that he could publish it. Then on February 9, 2025, Bonnell

retaliated against Denims by posting private sexual text messages between them to his community

chat group.

38. On or about January 26, 2025, Hannah Brooke, a follower of Bonnell, contacted

Plaintiff and informs her that even after the Video was publicized on November 29, 2024,

Destiny/Bonnell offered to send the Videos to her. A few days later another follower posted

Brooke's direct messages with Bonnell. After the messages go public, Bonnell deletes his

messages with Brooke in an attempt to cover it up.

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 10

39. Defendant has admitted that he disclosed the Video to Rose, and there is

evidence that he also disclosed it to other people without Plaintiff's consent. The Defendant

could have, and should have, expected that the Videos would then be publicly disclosed

and disseminated to hundreds or thousands of people online, which is exactly what

foreseeably occurred.

40. On January 30, 2025, Destiny/Bonnell admits that he has been actively

deleting evidence of his wrongdoing, specifically his messages with multiple women who

have claimed that he shared sex videos with them without the participant's consent.

41. On or about February 8, 2025, Bonnell posts on X that he agrees with

another post that claims that Plaintiff is "extorting" him. On or about February 9, 2025,

Bonnell makes another defamatory post on X again telling his followers that Plaintiff only

cares about getting money from him. He also informs all of his followers that the Video

can still be seen on Kiwifarms. The post has over one million views as of this date.

42. The Videos disclosed by Bonnell were of a private nature and were never

intended for public distribution. Defendant's actions have caused Plaintiff severe

emotional distress, humiliation, and reputational harm. Plaintiff's career as a political

streamer has been adversely affected and her aspirations of being elected for office have

been greatly diminished.

COUNT I – VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 6851 (INTIMATE IMAGE PROTECTION ACT)

43. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1

through 42 above as though fully set forth herein.

44. Defendant knowingly and intentionally disclosed the sexually explicit Videos

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 11

without Plaintiff's knowledge or consent, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 6851.

45. The Videos were disseminated by Defendant after October 1, 2022, the effective

date of the statute. Any dissemination of the Videos by the Defendant prior to October 1, 2022 is

also actionable because the Defendant's Google drive link to the Videos was publicly accessible

after October 1, 2022, thereby constituting continuous ongoing disclosure.

46. Plaintiff is identifiable in the Videos. The Videos have been viewed by thousands

of people, and continue to be viewed by thousands. The leak of the Videos by Defendant, and

Plaintiff's role in the Videos, has been discussed online by thousands of people

47. As a direct result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered extreme emotional

distress, and harm to her reputation.

48. All of the above actions of Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 6851, and accordingly

Plaintiff is entitled to a) preventative and injunctive relief requiring the Defendant to delete the

Videos and barring him from any further disclosure of the Videos; b) actual damages in an amount

to be proven, or alternatively liquidated damages in the amount of \$150,000, whichever is greater;

c) punitive damage; and d) reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

COUNT II – VIOLATION OF FLORIDA STATUTE § 784.049
(SEXUAL CYBERHARASSMENT)

49. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 48

above as though fully set forth herein.

50. Florida Statute § 784.049(5) provides a statutorily created private right of action.

51. Defendant's knowing and willful dissemination of sexually explicit Videos of

Plaintiff to other people through electronic means, without Plaintiff's consent and in violation of

her expectation that the Videos would remain private, constitutes sexual cyberharassment under

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 12

Florida Statute § 784.049.

52. Defendant acted intentionally and/or with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights,

causing Plaintiff to suffer damages.

53. Defendant shared the Videos for no legitimate purpose.

54. Tens of thousands of people have viewed the Videos on the different platforms.

Tens of thousands of people are aware of the Defendant's release of the Videos, and have engaged

in public online discussions with Defendant about the Videos and about Plaintiff.

55. As a direct, natural, proximate, and foreseeable result of Defendant's actions and

violation of §784.049, Plaintiff has suffered damage to reputation, emotional pain and suffering,

mental anguish, anxiety, and loss of dignity.

56. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief requiring the Defendant to destroy all Videos, stop

disclosing the Videos and cease harassing Plaintiff.

57. Plaintiff seeks statutory damages as per Fla. Stat. § 784.09(5)(b), or alternatively,

actual damages for emotional distress, loss of income, medical expenses and other damages.

Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Fla. Stat. § 784.049(5)(c). Plaintiff also seeks

punitive damages in an amount no less than \$1,000,000.

COUNT III – INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

58. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 57

above as though fully set forth herein.

Defendant's conduct of disclosing the Videos without Plaintiff's consent was either

done intentionally or with reckless disregard to the probability of causing Plaintiff emotional

distress.

59.

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 13

60. The Defendant acted in a way in which he knew or should have known that severe

emotional distress would likely result.

61. The Defendant's actions were so extreme and outrageous that they go beyond all

possible bounds of decency and are intolerable in a civilized society.

62. Even after Defendant became aware that Plaintiff was expressing suicidal

intentions due to his disclosure of the Videos, he continued to disclose the Videos to other people

without Plaintiff's consent.

63. As a direct, natural, proximate, and foreseeable result of Defendant's intentional

harassment and acts, Plaintiff has suffered damage to reputation, extreme emotional pain and

suffering, severe mental anguish, anxiety, and loss of dignity, and is entitled to compensatory

damages in an amount no less than \$1,000,000.

64. Because Defendant's conduct was intentional and/or recklessly indifferent, he is

liable for punitive damages in an amount no less than \$1,000,000.

COUNT IV – INVASION OF PRIVACY
(PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF PRIVATE FACTS)

65. Plaintiff adopts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 64

above as though fully set forth herein.

66. Defendant publicly disclosed private facts concerning Plaintiff that were not of

legitimate public concern, including the sexually explicit Videos, without her consent, and

Defendant continues to do so to this day.

67. There is no public concern that justifies disclosing sexually explicit images or

videos of the Plaintiff without her consent.

Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres

Page 14

68. At all times, the Defendant acted intentionally and with reckless disregard for

Plaintiff's wellbeing.

69. Defendant could have, or should have, expected, public disclosure to arise from his

dissemination of the Videos to various individuals.

70. The public disclosure of the Videos would be highly offensive to any reasonable

person, including the Plaintiff.

71. Public disclosure of the Videos took place such that tens of thousands of people

have viewed the Videos, or are aware of the content of the Videos, and of Plaintiff's role in the

Videos.

72. Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation of privacy in the Videos since they were

taken with the intention for private use and not for public dissemination.

73. Such disclosures have caused Plaintiff extreme humiliation, distress and emotional

suffering. Plaintiff seeks compensatory and actual damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees and

costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment against Defendant as follows: (1)

for appropriate compensatory and/or statutory damages in an amount to be determined at trial; (2)

for appropriate equitable and injunctive relief against Defendant, as allowed by law; (3) for an

award of punitive damages; (4) for an award of reasonable attorney's fees; and (5) for such other

and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled.

DOE v BONNELL Case No. 1:25-cv-20757-JB/Torres Page 15

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

The Plaintiff demands trial by jury of all issues triable as of right by a jury.

Dated: July 7, 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

JSP LAW, LLC

Joan Schlump Peters (admitted *pro hac vice*) 838 Neapolitan Way, #91 Naples, FL 34103 Tel. 305-299-4759

Email: petersjoan@bellsouth.net Counsel for Plaintiff JANE DOE SANCHEZ-MEDINA GONZALEZ QUESADA LAGE GOMEZ & MACHADO, LLP

CARLOS A. GARCIA PEREZ / Fla Bar 106895 GUSTAVO D. LAGE / Fla Bar 972551 201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 1205 Coral Gables, Florida, 33134

Tel. (305) 377-1000

Primary E-Mail: cgarciaperez@smgqlaw.com

Primary E-Mail: glage@smgqlaw.com
Counsels for Plaintiff JANE DOE

By:_____CARLOS A. GARCIA PEREZ

Qu a. Su Ren

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on July 7, 2025 a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on all parties via the CM/ECF filing portal to all counsel of record.

By: _____

CARLOS A. GARCIA PEREZ Attorney for Plaintiff

Qua. Suler